

Erratum: A comparison of postoperative emergence agitation between sevoflurane and thiopental anesthesia induction in pediatric patients (Korean J Anesthesiol 2015 Aug; 68(4): 373-378)

Ji-Seon Son, Eunjoo Jang, Min Wook Oh, Ji-Hye Lee, Young Jin Han and Seonghoon Ko

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Chonbuk National University Medical School and Hospital, Jeonju, Korea

The article by Son J, et al. entitled, "A comparison of postoperative emergence agitation between sevoflurane and thiopental anesthesia induction in pediatric patients" (Korean J Anesthesiol 2015 Aug; 68(4): 373-378) contained an error in Figure and Figure legend.

Before correction:




Fig. 2. There was a significant difference in the incidence of emergence agitation (EA) between the two groups at 5 min after arrival in the postanesthesia care unit (PACU) (3 vs. 12 patients, * $P = 0.019$). However, there were no differences at 20 min (23 vs. 19 patients, $P = 0.425$) or in the overall incidence ($P = 0.102$). The black stack presents the number of patients who showed EA. Group S: sevoflurane inhalation anesthesia induction group, Group T: intravenous thiopental anesthesia induction group.

The correct information is found below:




Fig. 2. There was a significant difference in the incidence of emergence agitation (EA) between the two groups at 5 min after arrival in the postanesthesia care unit (PACU) (3 vs. 12 patients, * $P = 0.019$). However, there were no differences at 5–20 min (23 vs. 19 patients, $P = 0.425$) or in the overall incidence ($P = 0.102$). The black stack presents the number of patients who showed EA. Group S: sevoflurane inhalation anesthesia induction group, Group T: intravenous thiopental anesthesia induction group.

PACU times are erroneously given as 20 min; instead it should read as 5–20 min.

The authors apologize for any inconvenience this mistake may have caused.