The effect of interscalene brachial plexus block with propofol sedation on preventing perioperative hypothermia during arthroscopic shoulder surgery
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Background: Interscalene brachial plexus block (ISBPB) is commonly used with general anesthesia for postoperative pain management in shoulder surgery. This study investigated the incidence of hypothermia and changes in the body temperature in patients undergoing arthroscopic shoulder surgery under ISBPB with propofol sedation.

Methods: This retrospective study enrolled 220 patients who underwent arthroscopic shoulder surgery. Patients were divided into general anesthesia (n = 34) and ISBPB with propofol sedation (n = 186) groups, and medical records were retrospectively compared. In addition, patients from the ISBPB group were further divided according to age (elderly [≥ 65 years], n = 98 vs. young [< 65 years], n = 88), and the incidence of hypothermia and changes in the body temperature were compared.

Results: Twenty-seven patients (12.3%) experienced perioperative hypothermia (range: 35.3–35.9°C). The incidence of perioperative hypothermia was 29.4% and 9.1% in the general anesthesia and ISBPB groups, respectively, and there was a significant difference between the two groups (P = 0.002). The incidence of perioperative hypothermia according to age in the ISBPB group was 9.2% and 9.1% in the elderly and young groups, respectively, and there was no significant difference between the two groups (P = 0.983).

Conclusions: The incidence of perioperative hypothermia during arthroscopic shoulder surgery under ISBPB with propofol sedation is lower than that under general anesthesia. Furthermore, when using ISBPB with propofol sedation, the incidence of perioperative hypothermia in elderly patients is similar to that in younger patients.
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Introduction

Inadvertent perioperative hypothermia (core body temperature < 36°C), caused by impairment of thermoregulation due to anesthesia and a low operating room temperature, occurs frequently during surgery. It also occurs in patients undergoing arthroscopic shoulder surgery because this is commonly performed under general anesthesia, and a large amount of cold irrigation fluid is used at relatively high pressures to ensure visibility of the operative field [1,2]. Despite mild body temperature decreases of approximately 1–2°C, perioperative hypothermia can lead to various complications, including serious
cardiovascular problems and increased risk of bleeding [3–7].

Various methods have been reported to prevent hypothermia during an arthroscopic shoulder surgery [1,2,8–13], and only few studies assessing interscalene brachial plexus block (ISBPB), which is widely used for postoperative pain control in shoulder surgery, have been reported [8–10]. A previous study reported that preoperative ISBPB can be useful in preventing hypothermia by reducing the amount of volatile anesthetics in patients undergoing arthroscopic shoulder surgery under general anesthesia [8]. However, other studies have reported that hypothermia occurs more frequently in elderly patients or when active warming is not performed despite using preoperative ISBPB with general anesthesia [9,10]. As such, the effect of ISBPB on preventing perioperative hypothermia is not clear. In addition, ISBPB was used as a supplement to general anesthesia in these studies, and the role of ISBPB is to reduce the thermoregulatory impairment caused by general anesthesia. There is no previous study comparing the effects of ISBPB and general anesthesia on hypothermia. ISBPB is used as the main anesthetic method for arthroscopic shoulder surgery with propofol sedation in our hospital. Therefore, the present retrospective study aimed to evaluate the incidence of perioperative hypothermia and changes in body temperature when ISBPB with propofol sedation was used as the primary anesthetic modality during arthroscopic shoulder surgery.

Materials and Methods

Study sample

The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Presbyterian Medical Center, Jeonju, Korea (PMCIRB 2020-03-007) and registered at the Clinical Research Information Service (Ref: KCT0004918). The clinical research was done following the ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration 2013. The present study was included 245 patients who underwent arthroscopic shoulder surgery between September 1, 2018, and February 29, 2020. Patients who were converted to general anesthesia due to incomplete ISBPB (n = 4), converted from arthroscopic to open surgery (n = 7), and those who underwent sedation using other sedatives without propofol (n = 14) were excluded (Fig. 1). The 220 patients were enrolled and divided into the general anesthesia group (Group GA, n = 34) and the ISBPB with propofol sedation group (Group ISBPB, n = 186). In addition, differences according to age (elderly patients ≥ 65 years, younger patients < 65 years) were compared in patients from the ISBPB group.

Methods

Data were retrospectively collected and analyzed using anesthetic and post-anesthetic care unit (PACU) records. All patients were monitored by noninvasive blood pressure measurements, electrocardiogram (ECG), and pulse oximetry. However, no premedication and pre-warming were performed before anesthesia.

As for the anesthetic method, ISBPB was performed except 1) when the patient wanted general anesthesia, 2) in patients who had a tendency of bleeding or who were taking anticoagulants, 3) when ISBPB was difficult to perform due to abnormal findings such as infection of the area where the nerve block was performed.

General anesthesia was induced with propofol, remifentanil, and rocuronium, and maintained with inhalation anesthetics using desflurane (or sevoflurane) and 50% oxygen-air mixture. Body temperature was measured with an esophageal stethoscope with temperature sensor (DeRoyal®, DeRoyal Industries Inc., USA).

ISBPB was performed by the anesthesiologist under ultrasound and nerve stimulator guidance with an in-plane technique using a mixture of 0.75% ropivacaine and 2% lidocaine. The pin-prick test was used to assess the adequacy of the nerve block, and propofol was administered with a target-controlled infusion pump to achieve appropriate sedation (Modified observer’s alertness/sedation [MOAA/S] score 1–3). The body temperature was measured on the side contralateral to the surgical area using a tympanic thermometer (ThermoScan IRT 4520, Braun, Germany). While supplying oxygen via a facial mask, respiration was continuously monitored during surgery by end tidal CO₂ and ECG. The oral airway was used when the upper airway was obstructed.

All patients underwent surgery in the beach-chair position by the same orthopedic surgeon. During the surgery, passive warming using a cotton blanket was performed, and active warming was performed using a forced-air warming device (WarmTouch™ 6000, Covidien, USA) placed on the knee area of the patient in

![Flowchart of patient allocation. ISBPB: interscalene brachial plexus block, GA: general anesthesia.](https://doi.org/10.4097/kja.20152)
both groups. In addition, humidified and heated respiratory circuit was used in the general anesthesia group. A three-liter normal saline bag, which was stored at room temperature, was used as the irrigation fluid.

Body temperature investigated was measured over time as follows: in the ward before leaving to the operating room (baseline); on arrival to the operating room; in 15 min intervals until the end of anesthesia; and on admission to the PACU. Changes in body temperature and the incidence of perioperative hypothermia (the lowest temperature) were examined and compared between the two groups. The severity of hypothermia was classified into Mild (35.5–35.9°C), Moderate (35.0–35.4°C), and Profound (< 35.0°C).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS ver. 23 (IBM Corp., USA), and patient characteristics are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorical data were analyzed using the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test. In addition, a logistic regression analysis adjusted with propensity score was performed to assess the correlation between the type of anesthesia and incidence of hypothermia. A P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

The present study included 220 patients (108 female, 112 male) with a mean ± SD age of 63.5 ± 11.1 years. There were no significant differences in demographic and perioperative data except sex (P = 0.013) between Group GA and Group ISBPB (Table 1). Body temperature on arrival to the operating room were 36.4 ± 0.3°C in both groups and gradually decreased over time in Group GA and Group ISBPB, but there was no significant difference between the two groups (Fig. 2). Overall, perioperative hypothermia occurred in 27 (12.3%) patients, with the body temperature rang-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group GA</th>
<th>Group ISBPB</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>&lt; 65 yr</td>
<td>≥ 65 yr</td>
<td>GA vs. ISBPB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>34 (15.5)</td>
<td>186 (84.5)</td>
<td>88 (47.3)</td>
<td>98 (52.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>60.1 ± 13.6</td>
<td>64.1 ± 10.5</td>
<td>55.3 ± 8.2</td>
<td>72.0 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F/M)</td>
<td>10/24</td>
<td>98/88</td>
<td>41/47</td>
<td>57/41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>162.1 ± 9.3</td>
<td>159.8 ± 9.7</td>
<td>163.6 ± 9.4</td>
<td>156.5 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>67.2 ± 11.2</td>
<td>63.3 ± 11.5</td>
<td>66.9 ± 12.5</td>
<td>60.1 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>24.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>24.9 ± 3.7</td>
<td>24.5 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of anesthesia (min)</td>
<td>134.1 ± 27.9</td>
<td>129.4 ± 24.6</td>
<td>126.9 ± 25.7</td>
<td>131.6 ± 23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation (min)</td>
<td>88.2 ± 25.8</td>
<td>81.5 ± 22.2</td>
<td>79.3 ± 24.2</td>
<td>83.4 ± 20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation fluid (L)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>13.2 ± 3.20</td>
<td>13.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>13.1 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol dose (mg/kg/h)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%), mean ± SD or number of patients. GA: general anesthesia, ISBPB: interscalene brachial plexus block.

**Fig. 2.** Perioperative body temperature measured in the ward (baseline); on arrival to the operating room; in 15 min intervals until the end of anesthesia; and on admission to the PACU in all subjects of both groups. Error bars indicate standard deviations. OR: operating room, ANE: anesthesia, PACU: post-anesthetic care unit, GA: general anesthesia, ISBPB: interscalene brachial plexus block. No significant difference was observed in perioperative body temperatures between the two groups at each time point.
In the present study, the incidence of perioperative hypothermia was significantly lower in patients using ISBPB with propofol sedation than general anesthesia (9.1% vs. 29.4%, \( P = 0.002 \)) during arthroscopic shoulder surgery. In addition, the incidence of perioperative hypothermia between elderly and younger patients in the ISBPB group was similar (9.2% vs. 9.1%, \( P = 0.983 \)). In demographic data, there was no significant difference between the two groups, except for sex. The female sex is a risk factor for hypothermia.

### Discussion

In the present study, the incidence of perioperative hypothermia was significantly lower in patients using ISBPB with propofol sedation than general anesthesia (9.1% vs. 29.4%, \( P = 0.002 \)) during arthroscopic shoulder surgery. In addition, the incidence of perioperative hypothermia between elderly and younger patients in the ISBPB group was similar (9.2% vs. 9.1%, \( P = 0.983 \)). In demographic data, there was no significant difference between the two groups, except for sex. The female sex is a risk factor for hypothermia, but the difference in sex (female/male = 10/24 in Group GA) was considered not to significantly affect the results [13,14].

Perioperative hypothermia should be prevented through active and appropriate methods as it can cause various, and sometimes serious complications. According to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Clinical Guideline, perioperative body temperature should be monitored at < 30 min intervals before the induction of anesthesia until the end of surgery. Moreover, the operating room temperature should be maintained at \( \geq 21°C \) for management of the body temperature, while fluids or blood should be warmed before use. When the body temperature falls below 36.5°C during surgery, it is recommended that a forced air warming device be used [15]. Other reported methods for maintaining perioperative body temperature include pre-warming and warmed inspired gas [11,16]. Arthroscopic shoulder surgery is a common surgical procedure in orthopedic surgery. It requires the use of a large amount of irrigation fluid during surgery to ensure a clear operating field of view, and this, in addition to anesthesia, is one of several important factors that can decrease body temperature during surgery [1]. Room-temperature or cold irrigation fluid is absorbed by the systemic circulation through injured blood vessels and soft tissues in the shoulder region, which is relatively close to the center of the body, resulting in a decrease in body temperature. Kim et al. [2] reported that the incidence of hypothermia was 91.3% when room-temperature fluid was used and 17.4% when warmed fluid (37–39°C) was used. However, whether the use of warmed irrigation fluid can reduce perioperative hypothermia remains unclear [1,12]. Among the various methods for maintaining body temperature during the perioperative period, it is also important to choose an anesthetic method that is more advantageous for maintaining the body temperature according to the type of surgery. However, research investigating anesthetic modalities for perioperative temperature management is lacking, and our study therefore investigated this.

Lim et al. [8] reported that performing ISBPB in the preoperative period with general anesthesia reduced the amount of inhalation anesthetic required and consequently decreased the degree of perioperative hypothermia. A combination of general anesthesia and ISBPB may be beneficial for perioperative temperature management by reducing the impairment of thermoregulation by anesthetic agents. Regional (ISBPB) or general anesthesia can be used in shoulder surgery. However, regional anesthesia has a few limitations, such as requiring additional local anesthesia after ISBPB in the posterior area of the shoulder, and patients experiencing severe discomfort due to positioning during surgery because the operation is commonly performed in the sitting or ‘deck’ position. Regional anesthesia is mainly used in combination with general anesthesia for postoperative pain management in shoulder surgery. To overcome these limitations of regional anesthesia, we
performed sedation using propofol with ISBPB. By inhibiting thermoregulatory vasoconstriction, propofol can reduce the core body temperature similar to sevoflurane in general anesthesia [17]. Despite using propofol, which can cause hypothermia, the incidence of perioperative hypothermia was lower under ISBPB with propofol sedation than under general anesthesia with inhalation agents in the present study.

In elderly patients, the ability to control the body temperature is diminished; thus, the risk of hypothermia is increased under general anesthesia. Chun et al. [9] reported that although ISBPB was performed before general anesthesia and active warming was performed during an arthroscopic shoulder surgery, a significant temperature reduction occurred in elderly patients compared to young adult patients. The incidence of hypothermia in elderly patients was 93.1%, of whom 39.9% experienced a severe decrease in body temperature ( \( \leq 34.9^\circ C \)). However, in the present study, the incidence of hypothermia in the elderly patients of Group ISBPB was 9.2%, and there was no difference compared to younger patients. In addition, it was significantly lower than that reported by Chun et al. [9]. We speculate that ISBPB with sedation using propofol better preserved the ability to control the body temperature than general anesthesia in elderly patients.

The present investigation had limitations inherent to studies with retrospective designs. Firstly, the difference in the number of cases between the two groups was large. Thus, we performed the statistics adjusted with propensity score. Secondly, the ambient room temperature is an important cause of decreases in body temperature during surgery; however, we were not able to assess the operating room temperature in the present study. Thirdly, tympanic and esophageal thermometers were used in the two groups, and there was no protocol when using the thermometer. Finally, because active warming using a forced-air warming device was performed, it is difficult to clearly determine the effect of ISBPB alone. As such, future prospective studies investigating the effects of anesthesia combining ISBPB and sedation on perioperative body temperature management are required.

In conclusion, the incidence of perioperative hypothermia during arthroscopic shoulder surgery under ISBPB with propofol sedation is lower than that during general anesthesia. Furthermore, when using ISBPB with propofol sedation, the incidence of perioperative hypothermia in elderly patients is similar to that of younger patients.
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